



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,720	05/01/2001	Mark Kruger	PALM-3629.US.P	7066
49637	7590	12/14/2007		
BERRY & ASSOCIATES P.C. 9255 SUNSET BOULEVARD SUITE 810 LOS ANGELES, CA 90069			EXAMINER MAUNG, ZARNI	
			ART UNIT 2151	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/14/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/847,720	Applicant(s) KRUGER ET AL.	
	Examiner Zarni Maung	Art Unit 2151	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

This action is responsive to the amendment and remarks filed on October 18, 2007.

Claims 1-27 are presented for further examination.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-9, 11-12, 14-16, 18-19, 21-23, 25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wild et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,862,480 (hereinafter Wild).

Regarding claim 1, Wild teaches a method of establishing one of a plurality of network links on a computer system, comprising the steps of: a. Associating one or more alternative network link designations with one or more of said network links based upon a priority assigned by the user of said computer system (Wild, fig.12, steps 1202-1204, fig. 17,col. 9, line 36 to col. 10, line 65, the network access query indicates a specific network; the list of network is returned in the indicated priority; the prioritization depends on preference information from the subscriber unit 104 which inherently is assigned by the user of the device; see col. 10, lines 43-55, e.g., prioritize based on lowest service rate);

b. Requesting first network link of said plurality of network links (Wild, col.15, l.21-25, fig. 12, step 1204);

- c. Attempting to initiate said first network link (see fig. 12, step 1206, determining whether request is for a specific network request);
- d. Determining whether a particular alternative network link designation is associated with said first network link (see fig. 12; at step 1216, after step 1214 determines that access is not permitted to the specific network, determining an alternate network paths); and
- e. If said step c) fails to establish said first network link and if said particular alternative network link designation is associated with said first network link, attempting to initiate, by said computer system, a particular network link of said plurality of network links based one said particular alternative network link designation (fig. 12, steps 1208, 1212, 1214 determine a particular network, and when that fails at step 1214, the method continues to step 1216, 1222 to fine alternate network connections).

Regarding claim 2, Wild further discloses a method as recited in claim 1 further comprising the steps of: f. Determining whether a second alternative network link designation is associated with said particular network link (figure 12, steps 1216, 1220, 1222, col. 15, l.32-34); and g If said step e) fails to establish said particular network link and if said second alternative network link designation is associated with said particular network link, initiating a second network link of said plurality of network links based on said second alternative network link designation (Wild, co1.15, 1.34-36; fig. 12, steps 1208, 1212, 1214 determine a particular network, and when that fails at

step 1214, the method continues to step 1216, 1222 to fine alternate network connections).

Regarding claim 4, Wild further discloses a method as recited in claim 1 further comprising the steps of: h. If said step e) fails to establish said particular network link, initiating a second network link of said plurality of network links based on said alternative network link designation (fig. 12, steps 1222, 1226, 1228, co1115, 1.34!-36).

Regarding claim 5, Wild further discloses a method as recited in claim 1 further comprising the steps of: Indicating to a user whether said first network link was established (Wild, co1.15, 1.16-18; step 1214); and enabling said user to discontinue establishment of said particular network link (Wild, co1.15, 1.18-20; step 1214).

Regarding claim 7, Wild further discloses a method as recited in claim 1 wherein said computer system comprises a personal digital assistant (Wild, fig. 1, element 104, a subscriber unit).

Regarding claims 8-9, 11-12, 14, they are directed to computer-readable medium with limitations corresponding to claims 1-2, 4-5, 7. Therefore, claims 8-9, 11-12, 14 are rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2, 4-5, 7.

Regarding claims 15-16, 18-19, 21, these claims are directed to computer system with a data bus, a processor couples to said data bus, and a memory device with limitations

corresponding to claims 1-2, 4-5, 7. Therefore, claims 15-16, 18-19, 2.1 are rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2, 4-5, 7.

Regarding claims 22-23, 25, and 27 have limitations corresponding to as claims 1,3, 5, and 7. Therefore, claims 22-23, 25, and 27 are rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5, and 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6, 10, 13, 17, 20, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wild in view of Horn, U.S. Patent Number 6,192,414 (hereinafter Horn).

Regarding claim 3, Horn teaches the invention except for teaching claim 3. However, Horn discloses a method as recited in claim 1 further comprising the steps of: If said step e) fails to establish said particular network link, attempting to initiate, by said computer system said first network-link (Horn, col.7, l. 18-22). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Wild's functions with multiple network connections associated with client

connection manager features as per Horn's teaching. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify Wild in view of Horn to obtain multiple network connections and to improve the connection control of the subscriber units disclosed by Wild.

Regarding claim 6 Horn further discloses a method as recited in claim: 1 wherein said step b) includes: sending a network open request to a shared library of said computer system (Horn, co1.5, I.5-14, in which the interface module, Winsock.DLL is the dynamic link module perform the link to the share library, i.e. function call, that is well known in the art).

Regarding claims 10, 17, 24, these claims do not teach or further define over the limitations recited in claim 3. Therefore, claims 10, 17, 24 are rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Regarding claims 13, 20, 26, they do not teach or further define over the limitations recited in claim 6. Therefore, claims 13, 20, 26 are rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Applicants' arguments filed on October 18, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As per applicants' arguments filed on October 18, 2007, the applicants argued in substance that there is no teaching or suggestion in Wild that access designation are prioritized based upon a priority assigned by the user of the device of the subscriber unit.

In reply, Wild teaches that aspect of the invention in figure 12 and the steps 1206-1222 shown in the figure. Specifically, steps 1202-1204, col. 9, line 36 to col. 10, line 65, Wild discloses that the network access query indicates a specific network to which the subscriber unit 104 requests an access. Wild further teaches that the list of network is returned to the SU in the indicated priority. Wild teaches that the prioritization depends on preference information from the subscriber unit 104 which inherently is assigned by the user of the device; see col. 10, lines 43-55, e.g., prioritize based on lowest service rate). The figure 12 and steps 1208, 1212, 1214 show that process of determining a particular network, and when that fails at step 1214, the method continues to step 1216, 1222 to find alternate network connections. Therefore, Wild teaches the limitations as recited in the claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number:
09/847,720
Art Unit: 2151

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zarni Maung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3939. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached at (571) 272-3964. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, status information for published application may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR, for unpublished application Private PAIR only (see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov> or the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand carried or delivered to:


Customer Service Window located at the Randolph Bldg. 401
Dulany St. Alexandria, VA 22314

Faxed to the Central Fax Office:

(571) 273-8300 (New Central Fax No.)

Or Telephone

(571) 272-2100 for TC 2100 Customer Service Office.


ZARNI MAUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER